AGENDA ITEM # XI-B.

AOG: <u>Five County Association of Governments</u>

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEA	RINGHOUSE	REVIEW		
Applicant: Brian Head Town				
Project Title: Steam Engine Meadows Phase 1-C Street	s & Utilities Pr	oject		
Date Received: October 1, 2018 Date th	e AOG Steerin	g Committe	e Reviewed:	October 10, 2018
Project Description:				
WHO - Residing at nearly 10,000 feet above sea level, community serving as a regional ski destination for Las Veg time population of about 100 residents, the Town routine and other snow sports in the winter months as well as during the summer months. Daytime visitors peak around Brian Head Town currently supports 1,500 utility connect broad areas which Brian Head provides basic service needs	as and Southern y provides servion mountain biking 10,000 during ev ons in and arou	California. In ces for thouse , hiking and ents and holic	addition to Bri ands of visitors other outdoor days a handful o	an Head Town's full- who come for skiing recreation activities of times each year.
WHAT - Brian Head Town is seeking loan funding to comp Meadows Subdivision (residential). The improvements w roads.				
WHY - The proposed improvements would complete a recession. The subdivision is in a key area of wildland urba safety access and improved fire protection capacity.				
Currently there are no residential structures in the sub- conditions property owners in the area are seeking solution. Town projects it won't be long before people are built protection in the area.	ns for running p	ower and gas	to the area to	enable building. The
In the past, we've come seeking grant money for projects this case, as there are not any structures currently in the and the development in the area is likely to be higher enough additional tax dollars to cover the debt service on a	subdivision, mos nd, the Town b	st of the work	would not imp	pact the water rates,
PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB loan 2.5%, 15 years: \$ 875,000	Total Project C	ost: \$ 875,00	0.	
Is the project on the County Capital improvements Lis	t?	Yes ☑	No □	
Is the project consistent with local and regional plans	? Local:	Yes ☑	No □	
	Regional:	Yes ☑	No □	
Is the project a planning project?		Yes □	No ☑	
How will the project benefit the applicant community	?			
The proposed improvements would complete a subdivision is in a key area of wildland urban interfacecess and improved fire protection capacity.			_	
What are challenges the project faces/creates?				,

None are anticipated

Brian Head Town - Steam Engine Meadows Phase 1-C Streets & Utilities Project Review (page 2 of 2)

AOG Recomn	nendation:		
	unty Association of bove and voted to:	Governments Steering Committee revi	iewed this project on the date
suppo	rt this project.	remain neutral on this project.	not support this project.
The action of	the Steering Comm	ittee on the above date is attested to b	oy:
Type Name:	Bryan D. Thiriot	Signature:	, Executive Director

Type Name: Bryan D. Thiriot

AOG: <u>Five County Association of Governments</u>

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

REGIONAL CLEAR	IINGHOUSE	KEVIEW	
Applicant: Municipal Building Authority of Beaver City			
Project Title: Beaver City Opera House			
Date Received: September 26, 2018 Date the	AOG Steerin	g Committee	Reviewed: October 10, 2018
Project Description:			
Beaver City Opera House Renovation:			
Beaver City currently maintains the Opera House as one of public meeting place for the community. It held dances, ba served as a fire station, sewing factory, and military armory Places. It now serves as the center for the Sr. Citizens progithe building for meetings as well as dance groups, exercise g plays each year in the theater. There are many family and co	nd practices, c to name a fer ram as well as roups, martial	ommunity med w. It is listed o Meals on Whe arts groups, ar	etings and social gatherings. It has on the National Register of Historic cels. Local support groups also use nd the Beaver Civic Arts hosts three
After a structural engineering analysis by Steven Barnhardt i of seismic upgrades to the roof and walls. The building is str public building. Jones and DeMille Engineering completed upgrade in conjunction with a renovation to the electrica upgrades to help prepare food in an appropriate manner, improved seating, and more space for theatrical productions	ucturally sound another study I and mechani and the theate	dexcept for the in 2017 that call systems. T	e seismic upgrades necessary for a confirmed the need for a seismic The kitchen was in need of many
This project was included on the Capital Improvement Plan f this reason, Beaver City (MBA) is applying to the Community	or several year Impact Board	s without the f to help with th	funding possible to even begin. For e funding.
PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB loan 2.5%, 20 years: \$500,000. C	IB grant: \$400	,000. Applican	t Cash: \$74,000. Other Local Cash:
\$16,000. Federal grant: \$300,000. State grant: \$310,000. To	otal Project Co	st: \$1,600,000	
s the project on the County Capital improvements List)	Yes ☑	No □
s the project consistent with local and regional plans?	Local:	Yes ☑	No 🗆
	Regional:	Yes ☑	No □
Is the project a planning project?		Yes □	No ☑
How will the project benefit the applicant community?		VC	
This will ensure that the residents and visitors to Beaver C that facility usable for many more functions than it currer public safety. Other improvements will greatly extend the usable control of the	itly provides for	or. The seism	ic improvements will provide for
What are challenges the project faces/creates?			
As is the case with all renovation projects, there is always the this project has been studied very well. One concern that ha cost bids exceeding even the best estimates of the design pro	s been fairly co	mmon in the p	past several years is construction
AOG Recommendation:		·	
The Five County Association of Governments Steering	Committee r	eviewed this	project on the date
indicated above and voted to:			
support this projectremain neutral on	this project.	not s	support this project.
The action of the Steering Committee on the above dat	e is attested t	to by:	
and the state of t		. 	

Signature: _______, Executive Director

AOG: <u>Five County Association of Governments</u>

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Town of Bryce Canyon City

Project Title: Bryce Canyon City - Wellness Clinic

Date Received: September 26, 2018 Date the AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: October 10, 2018

Project Description:

Purpose of the Project:

Bryce Canyon City, located in Garfield County, was incorporated in July of 2007. Bryce Canyon City is located at the entrance to Bryce Canyon National Park, which receives over 1,000,000 visitors each year. During peak season, the number of visitors to the City exceeds the total population of Garfield County. At this time the City's population and that in the County near the City increases dramatically with seasonal workers. A recent trend is that more and more of these workers are staying full time because the peak season is continuing to begin sooner and end later from year to year. With it has come rapid population growth and increased interest and demand for recreation facilities in the City.

The proposed facility will provide a weight lifting room and gymnasium space for recreation purposes and for holding general community events for the area. Restroom and mechanical spaces are also included as part of the proposed facility. Recently the City has been housing weight lifting and exercise equipment in the existing City public safety building. Fire fighters and other first responders have most generally used this, but many other citizens have utilized the facilities and the demand is growing. The City recognizes that there is a need to move the equipment and have a dedicated building for these activities. Additionally, the equipment it taking up much needed space in the public safety building that is needed for emergency vehicles and equipment.

Benefits of the project include: (1) Alleviating safety and space concerns currently present with housing fitness equipment at the public safety building (2) Community center space that the City currently does not have, (3) Gymnasium recreation space and opportunity for a growing community that currently does not have such.

What is Being Proposed, Alternatives Considered, and Benefits

The City requests CIB funds, to be combined with in-kind donations, to construct the new facility. The facility will be constructed on existing City property where public safety building is located. The site will accommodate the proposed facility in close proximity to the public safety building to conveniently allow continued use of equipment by first responders. Another alternative considered included adding on to the existing public safety building for the exercise equipment only, this alternative is considered less desirable because the City would like to provide some separation of the facilities. Additionally, building a new, separate building affords the City the opportunity to include gymnasium and community center spaces which are needed and desired by the community. The selected site was chosen for its low cost, its proximity to the public safety building, existing infrastructure, and the need to improve and enhance City property.

The City has paid for preconstruction architecture, engineering, and environmental services in advance in an effort to expedite the project and hopefully move forward with construction in the spring of 2019. Also, with construction costs rising rapidly, this approach will allow the project to be bid prior to the February funding meeting and know the actual construction costs at that time.

Why CIB Funds are Being Requested

A total of \$1,600,000 in funding is required to complete the project. The City is contributing \$70,000 in-kind by paying for preconstruction engineering, architecture, bidding, and environmental up front. To complete the new facility the City is requesting a total \$1,530,000 in CIB funding in the form of a \$765,000 grant and a 2.5% loan of \$765,000 to be paid back from the general fund. Other funding avenues, such as a commercial loan, would require the project scope to be reduced to make it affordable or would. This could result in a smaller facility that would not provide space required.

ROPOSED FUNDING: CIB loan 2.5%, 30 years: \$765,000. CIB grant: \$765,000. Applicant In-kind: \$70,000.					
Total Project Cost: \$1,600,000.					
			=		

is the project on the county capital improvements gist.		103 65	140
Is the project consistent with local and regional plans?	Local:	Yes ☑	No □
22	Regional:	Yes ☑	No □

Bryce Canyon City - Wellness Clinic Review (page 2 of	2)
Is the project a planning project?	Yes □ No ☑
How will the project benefit the applicant community	?
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	licly owned recreation center. The residents currently are using eates security issues. This will provide a purpose-built facility that
What are challenges the project faces/creates?	
No specific challenges are anticipated, other than what has construction cost bids exceeding even the best estimates o planned?	been fairly common as of the past several years, and that is f the design professionals. Can this be built for the amount
AOG Recommendation:	
The Five County Association of Governments Steering indicated above and voted to:	ng Committee reviewed this project on the date
support this projectremain neutral o	n this projectnot support this project.
The action of the Steering Committee on the above da	ate is attested to by:
Type Name: Bryan D. Thiriot Signature:	Executive Director

Type Name: Bryan D. Thiriot

AOG: <u>Five County Association of Governments</u>

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARIN	NGHOUSE I	KEVIEW	
Applicant: Town of Bryce Canyon City			
Project Title: Bryce Canyon City – General Plan			
Date Received: September 26, 2018 Date the A	AOG Steerin	g Committe	e Reviewed: October 10, 2018
Project Description:			
Visitation to Bryce Canyon NP continues to increase, and this though the city is home to only 195 permanent, year-round more people than live in Garfield County. Additionally, the cithe Bryce Canyon Winter Festival, Bryce Canyon Country Roanticipating change - change in population, council members, limited resources, the town says they are not prepared to har zoning ordinance, but found that they did not have a plan to planning project will also include a critical review and recobusiness owners in Bryce Canyon want to find way to grow cherished. They indicate that they need a plan that meets the With this in mind, the town is pursuing a matching grant from need to plan and have the matching funds required for this propagation. AOG and Tropic Town on this project, and we hope the CIB with our community. PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB grant: \$20,000. Applicant Cash: \$2.000.	residents, or ity hosts seve odeo and the business licer ndle these cha- justify the re- ommendation w while main state legal re- in the Commu- oject. The tow- ill support us	n any given deral major ever Canyon2Carinse application anges. A few gulations enter to taining the requirements a unity Impact I wn anticipate in this path f	lay (from April to October) it hosts ents throughout the year, including anyon Bike Ride. The community is ons, and general development. With years ago, they tried to update our visioned by it. They expect that this this ordinance. The residents and ural atmosphere they have always and protects private property rights. Board. The town has prioritized the s collaborating with the Five County orward as we plan for the future of
Is the project on the County Capital improvements List?		Yes ☑	No 🗆
Is the project consistent with local and regional plans?	Local:	Yes ☑	No □
	Regional:	Yes ☑	No □
Is the project a planning project?		Yes ☑	No □
How will the project benefit the applicant community?			
The Town of Bryce Canyon City needs a current general Plan i for the next 10 year horizon and be a comprehensive general P		mply with sta	ite law. This plan is needed to plan
What are challenges the project faces/creates?			
No specific challenges are anticipated. Always when a commun getting involvement from all of the residents, so that it represe supporting a vocal minority. Efforts should be undertaken to so	ents the visior	of the whole	community and is not just
AOG Recommendation:			
The Five County Association of Governments Steering C	ommittee r	eviewed thi	s project on the date
indicated above and voted to:			
support this projectremain neutral on th	is project.	not	support this project.
The action of the Steering Committee on the above date	is attested t	o by:	

______ Executive Director

Signature:

AOG: <u>Five County Association of Governments</u>

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Pate Received: September 26, 2018 Date the	AOG Steerin	g Committee	Reviewed:	October 10, 2018
roject Description:				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION Tropic Town is facing more development pressure than it primary driver in this change, but our community also faces in				Bryce Canyon is a
In 2016, the Rural Planning Group developed a "community general plan revision. The purpose of that document wa recommend actions that the town can take to improve concincrease, and we want to make sure that we codify our vision baseline, but we need help to update our plans and regulation	s to create a litions. Since t and goals. W	"snapshot" o that time we h e anticipate ut	of Tropic's cur lave seen inter ilizing this asse	rent condition and rest in development essment as an issues
Our current general plan was adopted in 1996, and our zorgreat deal has changed since then, and we need to update statute. Our community has already organized volunteer groand residents.	them for inte	rnal consisten	cy as well as a	lignment with state
Because we share so many issues (workforce housing, trans Canyon City, the Five County AOG, and other regional partner			o work collab	oratively with Bryce
PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB grant: \$11,000. Applicant Cash: \$	11,000. Tota	l Project Cost:	\$22,000.	
	511,000. Total	Project Cost:	\$22,000. No 🗆	
the project on the County Capital improvements List?				
the project on the County Capital improvements List?		Yes ☑ Yes ☑	No 🗆	
the project on the County Capital improvements List? the project consistent with local and regional plans?	Local:	Yes ☑ Yes ☑	No □	
PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB grant: \$11,000. Applicant Cash: \$1 the project on the County Capital improvements List? the project consistent with local and regional plans? the project a planning project? ow will the project benefit the applicant community?	Local:	Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Yes ☑	No 🗆 No 🗆	
the project on the County Capital improvements List? the project consistent with local and regional plans? the project a planning project? ow will the project benefit the applicant community? The town general plan is very old and the proposed update is	Local: Regional:	Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹	No 🗆 No 🗆 No 🗆	n, literally, into the
the project on the County Capital improvements List? the project consistent with local and regional plans? the project a planning project? ow will the project benefit the applicant community? The town general plan is very old and the proposed update is 21 st century.	Local: Regional:	Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹	No 🗆 No 🗆 No 🗆	m, literally, into the
the project on the County Capital improvements List? the project consistent with local and regional plans? the project a planning project? ow will the project benefit the applicant community? The town general plan is very old and the proposed update is 21 st century. //hat are challenges the project faces/creates?	Local: Regional:	Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹	No 🗆 No 🗆 No 🗆	m, literally, into the
the project on the County Capital improvements List? the project consistent with local and regional plans? the project a planning project? ow will the project benefit the applicant community? The town general plan is very old and the proposed update is 21 st century. //hat are challenges the project faces/creates? No specific challenges are anticipated.	Local: Regional:	Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹	No 🗆 No 🗆 No 🗆	n, literally, into the
the project on the County Capital improvements List? the project consistent with local and regional plans? the project a planning project?	Local: Regional: much needed	Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹 and will bring	No No No No No No No No	

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant:	Panguitch	City
------------	------------------	------

Project Title: Panguitch City - Culinary Water Asset Management/Master Plan

Date Received: October 1, 2018 Date the AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: October 10, 2018

Project Description:

Panguitch City is located in Garfield County Utah along the US Highway 89 corridor. With a population of just over 1,500 people, Panguitch City is a small rural community. The City has a culinary water system which is aging and in need of various repairs. In the summer of 2017 a wildfire which started near the Brian Head ski Resort west of the city burned the majority of the water sheds which supply water to the city. Additional flooding which occurred throughout July 2018 damaged the spring collection sites which the city has been pursuing funds to redevelop.

The City recognizes that due to issues surrounding the Brian Head fire and the aging water system infrastructure there is a need to develop a water system master plan which will analyze existing system source, storage, and distribution components. The City is pursuing funding from the Community Impact Board (CIB) to develop this masterplan. The plan will review the current system and look at how the city has been growing and plans to grow in the future. This will aid in identifying needed upgrades or repairs.

A GIS database will be developed by creating an inventory of the existing culinary water system including distribution pipe sizes, valves, hydrants, meters, etc. This will help the City to understand the extent and general layout of system components. Field work will be utilized as well as reviewing existing paper or electronic drawings, and previous models and reports. Field work will aid the City in developing a more accurate representation of the utilities which will then be uploaded to an interactive GIS geodatabase which the City can then use to train future personnel and keep track of work being performed throughout the system. The GIS database will be used to develop a hydraulic model of the system. This model will allow for an analysis of the existing and future demands to the water distribution system. Flows and pressures throughout the system will be reviewed as well as looking at current water usage and growth areas. System deficiencies will be identified based on the model and exported into the GIS interactive database.

These deficiencies will be categorized into various phases and a replacement / upgrade plan will be developed based on the needs and growth required by the system. Cost estimates will be put together for the various project phases and a financial analysis will be prepared for the various project phases which will aid the City in identifying potential funding opportunities and to make preparations for moving forward with the needed repairs and upgrades.

Panguitch City recognizes the need to be more proactive in their culinary water system and planning for the future rather than reactive to it. The City plans to move forward with this study immediately with a plan for completion by Spring of 2019.

PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB grant: \$26,300. Applicant Cash: \$26,300. Total Project Cost: \$52,600.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List?		Yes ☑	No □	
Is the project consistent with local and regional plans?	Local:	Yes ☑	No □	
	Regional:	Yes 🗹	No □	
Is the project a planning project?		Yes ☑	No □	

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

With all of the recent changes in the water source and distribution system brought about by the recovery from the effects of the 2017 Brian Head fire, the City needs a Water Master Plan to reflect those changes and plan for the future needs of the resident's culinary water needs.

Panguitch City – Culinary Water Asset Management/Master Plan Review (page 2 of 2)

What are challenges the project for No specific challenges are anticipate	•	
AOG Recommendation:	J V	
The Five County Association of Cindicated above and voted to:	Governments Steering Committee revi	ewed this project on the date
support this project.	remain neutral on this project.	not support this project.
The action of the Steering Commi	ttee on the above date is attested to b	y:
	Signature:	